ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP			3 MAY		
O: (Name, office symbullding, Agency/		ill po	Indials	Date 3 MAY 1982	
D/LOG	idation prof		*		
	compitation				
. /	purpo -			·	
.					
Action	File	Note and Return			
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation			
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply			
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me			
	14414-	Signature			
Comment	Investigate	3 3 3 3 11	ature		

Attached for your review and comments is a first draft of an OMB issue paper on the new building.

has asked for our comments not later than Friday, 7 May, if we wish to have our views incorporated into OMB's deliberations during the spring review. With respect to the alternative for a smaller building, acknowledges that this is a SWAG based upon the assumption that personnel growth is one-half of that projected by CIA, lower power plant requirements, less consolidation, and scaled-down DD/A Registry parking structure.

Please get back to us with your views

Maurice Lipton, Comptroller

Maurice Lipton, Comptroller

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)

later than Friday morning, 7 May 1982.

\$041-102 OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GEA
FPMR (41 CPR) 101-11.206
Approved For Release 2008/07/18 : CIA-RDP89-00244R000200230009-1

STAT

STAT

STAT

Approved For Release 2008/07/18 : CIA-RDP89-00244R000200230009-1

1984 Spring Planning Review

National Foreign Intelligence Program

Issue # : CIA Building

Statement of Issue

Should a new building for CIA be approved? If so, how should it be financed?

Background

CIA currently occupies square fee	et of space in Washington,	of which	sq. ft. is
located at the federally-owned CIA complex in	n Langley, Virginia;	sq. ft. is	federally-owned space
elsewhere in the Washington area; and	sq. ft. is leased (pri	narily through	GSA) at an annual cost
of in 1982. CIA planning is well	along for construction of	a s	q. ft. building
beginning in 1984: the approval of the Nation	nal Capitol Planning Commis	sion has been o	btained; an
environmental impact study has been completed	d; preliminary design speci	fications have	been drawn up; and
A-104 analysis has demonstrated cost-effecti	veness. The DCI has approv	ed \$3 million i	n 1983 for detailed
design work and \$191 million for construction	n within the NFIP 1984 plan	ning ceiling.	Significant budgetary

25X1

25X1

25X1

